

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/667,016		09/17/2003	Tal Dayan	6041.P008	6041.P008 9130	
8791	7590	03/31/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		LOFF TAYLOF	ZARROLI, MICHAEL C			
12400 WILSI SEVENTH F		OULEVARD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANGEL	ES, CA	90025-1030		2839		

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>	H'1			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/667,016	DAYAN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michael C. Zarroli	2839				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addres	s			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by static reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	March 2005.					
,		nis action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 2-9,11 and 13-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 2-9,11 and 13-15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examinate The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 September 2003</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a life	ints have been received. Ints have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stag	je			
Attachmen	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice 3) Information	the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 15 objected to because of the following informalities: The phrase "contact on to." Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

How can a faceplate have contacts as recited in claim 14? By definition isn't a faceplate without electrical connections? The examiner can give no patentable weight to this claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/667,016

Art Unit: 2839

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 14, 2, 3 (as best understood), 4 and, 6-9 rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by previously cited Williams et al.

Williams discloses a mobile electronic apparatus (title), comprising; a body defining a housing for an electronic component (figures 1, 2, 9 or 10); and a replaceable unit (110) having an inner surface (1124) having first electrical contacts (1110 or 1118) to electrically couple the replacement unit to the electronic component (114), and an outer surface (1126) which is opposed to the inner surface (fig. 11), the outer surface having second electrical contacts (1120, 112), the second electrical contacts to provide power (112) to a separate electronic device (1100).

Regarding claim 2 Williams discloses that the replaceable unit is a battery pack (col. 2 line 52).

Regarding claim 4 Williams discloses that the mobile apparatus is a mobile phone (100).

Regarding claim 6 Williams discloses that the mobile apparatus is a PDA (902).

Regarding claim 7 Williams discloses that the mobile apparatus is a digital camera (col. 4 line 17).

Application/Control Number: 10/667,016 Page 4

Art Unit: 2839

Regarding claim 8 Lee discloses that the mobile apparatus is a video camera (col. 6 lines 16-17).

Regarding claim 9 Williams discloses that the separate electronic device is a mobile phone (col. 6 lines 11-12).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

 Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a). A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,016 Page 5

Art Unit: 2839

8. Claim 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams et al.

Williams does not specifically disclose that the mobile apparatus could be a mobile computer including a notebook computer.

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to designate the mobile apparatus of Williams to be a notebook computer. The suggestion for this is found in two places in Williams where it is made clear that this patented invention is applicable to a wide array of digital devices (col. 4 lines 18+ and col. 6 lines 10-30).

9. Claims 15, 11 and, 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishio et al (new 6315582).

Nishio discloses a mobile electronic apparatus, comprising: a body (13) defining a housing to house a first electronic component (figures 18 & 19), the body having at least one external electrical contact (20) on to provide power to a separate electronic device (12), and at least one contact (30) to engage with a separate pad and to draw power therefrom (contact 30 draws power from power supply 14). Nishio does not disclose that the contact that draws power is spring loaded. However, Nishio does disclose that the contact that provides the power is spring loaded.

Art Unit: 2839

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nishio so that the contact that provides the power is spring loaded. The motivation for this change is to have a device with the option of spring loaded power supply contacts in order to adapt to different components. Case law has shown that reversing parts is not grounds for patentability *In re Gazda*, 219 F.2d 449, 452, 104 USPQ 400, 402 (CCPA 1955).

Regarding claims 11 and 13 Nishio discloses a type of spring-loaded contact that moves both in the horizontal and vertical directions with relation to the surface of the body (figures 9C & 9D).

Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will

Application/Control Number: 10/667,016

Art Unit: 2839

be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from 11. the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Zarroli whose telephone number is 571-272-2101. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 to 3:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, T.C. Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext 39. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Michael C. Zarroli Primary Examiner Art Unit 2839

MCZ MCZ Page 7